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Abstract—Wang and Feng [1] pointed out that the deduction in [2] overlooked the validity of the perturbation theorem used in [2]. In this paper, we show that, when the perturbation theorem is invalid, the probability of successful superresolution is very low. Therefore, we only have to derive the limits under the condition that validates the perturbation theorem, as done in [2].
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Wang and Feng [1] pointed out that the perturbation theorem cited by [2] is only valid when \( \kappa \epsilon_P < 1 \) (due to page limits, please refer to [2] for notations), but this condition was overlooked by [2]. Therefore, they doubted the validity of the fundamental limits of reconstruction-based superresolution (SR) algorithms claimed by Lin and Shum [2]. In the following, we will show that, when \( \kappa \epsilon_P \geq 1 \), the probability of successful SR will be very low. Therefore, basically, we need not consider the case where \( \kappa \epsilon_P \geq 1 \).

When \( \kappa \epsilon_P \geq 1 \), the right-hand side of (7) in [2] is indeed no longer a valid bound for \( ||\delta H|| \). Fortunately, Theorem 5.3.1 of [3] is still of help to bound \( ||\delta H|| \). Using the notations in [2], the theorem can be written as:

**Theorem.** If \( \sin \theta = \frac{||x||}{||E||} \neq 1 \), then

\[
||\delta H|| \leq \left( \frac{2 \kappa}{\cos \theta} + \tan \theta \cdot \kappa^2 \right) + O(\varepsilon^2) ||H||,
\]

where \( \varepsilon = \max\{\epsilon_P, \frac{||\delta E||}{||L - E||}\} \).

Using the notations in [2], \( ||\delta H|| = \delta_R \delta_N \) and \( ||H|| = \sigma_R \delta_N \), where \( \delta_N \) is the square root of the size of \( H \). As we have argued in [2], \( \delta_N \) should be below a threshold \( T \) so that SR can be successful, and this threshold \( T \) is usually very small. A reference upper bound of \( T \) is 18.55, as Fig. 5 of [2] exemplifies.

As \( \delta H \) depends on the independent random matrix/vector \( \delta P \) and \( \delta E \), it must also be a random vector in the ball \( B_R = \{x : ||x|| \leq R \delta_N\} \), where \( R > 2 \kappa \sigma \delta_N \) thanks to (1). Note that \( \sigma_N \) cannot be very small (please refer to Section 4 of [2], where a reference lower bound of \( \sigma_N \) is 15). Otherwise, the ground-truth high resolution image is of very low contrast. Hence, SR is not possible. Therefore, if \( \kappa \epsilon_P \geq 1 \), then it is guaranteed that \( T < R \). (Please compare the reference bounds of \( T \) and \( \sigma_N \). Also, note that \( \kappa \epsilon \geq \kappa \epsilon_P \).) Then, we can choose an \( R' \) such that \( T < R' < R \) and \( R' \) is close to \( T \). As \( T \) is small, the distribution of \( \delta H \) should be close to uniform in the small ball \( B_R \).

As \( N^2_k \gg 1 \) when doing SR, though \( \frac{T}{R} \) may not be far below 1, \( P \) will still be very low.

Note that the above arguments agree with Theorem 3 of [4]. It states that the volume of possible solutions grows at a power of \( N^2_k \). Therefore, the probability of finding acceptable solutions should decrease at a power of \( N^2_k \). Again, that \( N^2_k \gg 1 \) plays the key role to ensure the low probability of successful SR.

Now, we also use matrices (4) and (6) of [2], whose \( \kappa \epsilon_P = 7.49 \) [1], to give a casual example to show that SR is nearly impossible when \( \kappa \epsilon_P \geq 1 \). We choose \( \vec{H} = (10, 20, 30, \cdots, 90)^T \), \( L = \text{round}(PH) \), and \( \delta E = E - L \). Then, we have

\[
\vec{H} = (-115.82, 124.91, -162.86, 153.36, -39.99, 222.05, -64.12, 176.57, -89.61)^T
\]

and \( \delta h = 137.76 \). This \( \delta h \) is very large and \( \vec{H} \) is also an undesired SR result.
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