

864 A. Convergence Proof

865 **Definition 4.** Let Φ be the set of all functions $\phi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow$
866 \mathbb{R}_+ which are lower semi-continuous function satisfying the
867 following properties:

- 868 (i) $\phi(\mathbf{0}) = 0$,
- 869 (ii) $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(-\mathbf{x})$ (symmetry),
- 870 (iii) $\phi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \phi(\mathbf{y})$ (subadditivity).

871 Here \mathbb{E} is a finite dimensional Euclidean space.

872 We can verify that the function of matrix Z involved in
873 the definition of the k -BDMS, i.e., $\text{rank}(L_W)$ with $W =$
874 $(|Z| + |Z^T|)/2$, falls in the above set Φ .

875 **Definition 5** (SRIP(k, α)). We say the SRIP(k, α) holds for
876 an affine operator \mathcal{A} if there exist $\nu_k, \mu_k > 0$ satisfying
877 $\mu_k/\nu_k < \alpha$ such that

$$878 \nu_k \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \mu_k \|\mathbf{x}\|, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_k,$$

879 where $\mathcal{C}_k := \{\mathbf{x} : \phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq k\}$ is a nonconvex constraint set
880 parameterized by k .

881 We have the following convergence guarantee for apply-
882 ing the gradient projection algorithm (Algorithm 1) to opti-
883 mize the function f_1 in Eqn. (1).

884 **Theorem 2** (Convergence of Alg. 1 for BD-SSC). Consider
885 the Gradient Projection (GP) method with a constant step-
886 size $\eta_t = \eta \in [\mu_k^2, 2\nu_k^2]$ and suppose that SRIP($k, \sqrt{2}$) is
887 satisfied. Then

$$888 f_1(Z_{t+1}) - f_1(Z^*) \leq \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2}\right) (f_1(Z_t) - f_1(Z^*)), \forall t \geq 0$$

889 with $\rho = \eta/2\nu_k^2$. As a consequence,

$$890 f_1(Z_{t+1}) - f_1(Z^*) \leq \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2}\right)^t (f_1(Z_0) - f_1(Z^*)), \forall t \geq 0$$

891 and $f_1(Z_t) \rightarrow f_1(Z^*)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

892 *Proof.* Let

$$893 q_t(Z, Z_t) := f_1(Z_t) + \langle Z - Z_t, \partial f_1(Z_t) \rangle + \frac{\eta_t}{2} \|Z - Z_t\|_F^2.$$

894 Then the GP method can be equivalently rewritten as

$$895 Z_{t+1} \in \arg \min \{q_t(Z, Z_t) : Z \in \mathcal{K}\},$$

896 and hence, for the global optimum $Z^* \in \mathcal{K}$ it holds that

$$897 q_t(Z_{t+1}, Z_t) \leq q_t(Z^*, Z_t). \quad (6)$$

898 Now, since $f_1(Z) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \|XZ - X\|_F^2 + \|Z\|_1$, it follows that

$$899 \begin{aligned} & f_1(Z_{t+1}) \\ &= f_1(Z_t) + \langle Z_{t+1} - Z_t, \partial f_1(Z_t) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|X(Z_{t+1} - Z_t)\|_F^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{SRIP}}{\leq} f_1(Z_t) + \langle Z_{t+1} - Z_t, \partial f_1(Z_t) \rangle + \frac{\eta_t}{2} \|Z_{t+1} - Z_t\|_F^2, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

900 where the last inequality follows from the fact that $Z_t -$
901 $Z_{t+1} \in \mathcal{C}_k$ (by the subadditivity and symmetry of the func-
902 tion $\phi \in \Phi$) and from the fact that the definition of the step-
903 size implies that $\|X(Z_{t+1} - Z_t)\|_F \leq \sqrt{\eta_t} \|Z_{t+1} - Z_t\|$.
904 Therefore, we have shown that $f_1(Z_{t+1}) \leq q_t(Z_{t+1}, Z_t)$ so
905 that

$$906 f_1(Z_{t+1}) = q_t(Z_{t+1}, Z_t) \stackrel{(6)}{\leq} q_t(Z^*, Z_t).$$

907 On the other hand,

$$908 \begin{aligned} & q_k(Z^*, Z_t) \\ &= f_1(Z_t) + \langle Z^* - Z_t, \partial f_1(Z_t) \rangle + \frac{\eta_t}{2} \|Z^* - Z_t\|_F^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{SRIP}}{\leq} f_1(Z_t) + \langle Z^* - Z_t, \partial f_1(Z_t) \rangle + \frac{\eta_t}{2\nu_k^2} \|X(Z^* - Z_t)\|_F^2 \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} f_1(Z^*) + \left(\frac{\eta_t}{2\nu_k^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \|XZ^* - XZ_t\|_F^2 \\ &\leq f_1(Z^*) + \left(\frac{\eta_t}{2\nu_k^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) (f_1(Z_t) - f_1(Z^*)). \end{aligned}$$

909 Therefore, we have,

$$910 f_1(Z_{t+1}) - f_1(Z^*) \leq \left(\frac{\eta_t}{2\nu_k^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) (f_1(Z_t) - f_1(Z^*)).$$

911 \square

912 Similarly, we have the convergence guarantee for apply-
913 ing the gradient projection algorithm (Algorithm 1) to opti-
914 mize the function f_* in Eqn. (2).

915 **Theorem 3** (Convergence of Alg. 1 for BD-LRR). Also
916 consider the Gradient Projection (GP) method with a con-
917 stant stepsize $\eta_t = \eta \in [\mu_k^2, 2\nu_k^2]$ and suppose that
918 SRIP($k, \sqrt{2}$) is satisfied. Then

$$919 f_*(Z_{t+1}) - f_*(Z^*) \leq \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2}\right) (f_*(Z_t) - f_*(Z^*)), \forall t \geq 0$$

920 with $\rho = \eta/2\nu_k^2$. As a consequence,

$$921 f_*(Z_{t+1}) - f_*(Z^*) \leq \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2}\right)^t (f_*(Z_0) - f_*(Z^*)), \forall t \geq 0$$

922 and $f_*(Z_t) \rightarrow f_*(Z^*)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

923 *Proof.* The proof exactly follows the procedure of proving
924 Theorem 2. \square